

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/078,322 | 02/12/2002 | Newell Ryan Moss | 2782.2.11 | 3093 |
| 7590 01/13/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| H. Dickson Burton TRASKBRITT, PC | | | LUBY, MATTHEW D | |
| P.O. Box 2550 | , | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| Salt Lake City, UT 84110 | | | 3611 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/078,322 | MOSS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Matt Luby | 3611 | | | | |
| Th` MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover she t with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>_</u> · | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,12,18-26 and 33</u> is/are pend | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,12,18-26 and 33</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
|)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26 and 33</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,10,16,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>5-9,12 and 20</u> is/are objected to. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>5-9,12 and 20</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. Note the attached Offic | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s). | is have been received. Is have been received in Applicating documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 12 povisional application has been resided in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12 | tion No yed in this National Stage yed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. sceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | ov (DTO 442) Page = N= (a) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1 | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 26 (page 8, line 17). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 10, 16, 18, 19, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French Patent 2,227,739, hereafter '739, in view of
- 4. '739 discloses an apparatus having a base (2); a mount (3) having a first end pivotably secured to the base to support pitching of the mount with respect to the base between a stowed position wherein the mount is juxtaposed adjacent the supporting portion of the base on a first side of the base and a deployed position suitable for towing wherein the mount extends from the base on a second side thereof (Figure 1); a hitch coupled with the mount including a first ball (20) extending in a first direction and a first

Art Unit: 3611

lock located and configured to selectively maintain the mount in one of the stowed and deployed positions (see abstract discussion of clip), further comprising a stem extending from the hitch in a third direction (the flat part of 3 supporting 20), to support the hitch relative to the mount (Figure 1), wherein the stem is coupled to the hitch by bolting (Figure 1 - shows a nut coupling 20 to the flat part of 3). '739 does not specifically disclose that the hitch includes a second ball formed integrally with the first ball and that the two are of different diameters. May discloses that a hitch may include first and second ball hitches of different diameters (col. 3, lines 42-45) formed together as a homogenous monolith of a single material, e.g., formed integrally (col. 3, lines 39-41) in order to provide an integrally formed multi-ball hitch from readily available stock material and thereby reduce manufacturing costs and increase strength (inherent benefits of forming integrally from die-cast stainless steel - column 3, lines 35-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the hitch including first and second balls of different diameters formed integrally on the '739 apparatus, as taught by May, in order to provide an integrally formed multi-ball hitch from readily available stock material and thereby reduce manufacturing costs and increase strength.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 33, and 21-26 are allowed. The prior art fails to disclose a mount secured to the base and positionable relative thereto between a first position wherein the mount extends from the base, and at least a second position substantially half a revolution

Art Unit: 3611

from the first position wherein the mount is juxtaposed adjacent the trunnion along with all of the rest of the recited limitations of claim 33.

6. Claims 5-9, 12 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5-10, 12, 18-26 and 33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/078,322

Art Unit: 3611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt Luby whose telephone number is (703) 305-0441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Matt Luby Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 5

M.I. January 6, 2004

> lebley d. Womes Supervisory patent examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600